$\infty - \infty$: vacuum energy and virtual black-holes

Andrea Addazi[1*]
[1] *Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di L'Aquila, 67010 Coppito AQ and LNGS, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, 67010 Assergi AQ, Italy*

We discuss other contributions to the vacuum energy of quantum field theories and quantum gravity, which have not been considered in literature. As is well known, the presence of virtual particles in vacuum provides the so famous and puzzling contributions to the vacuum energy. As is well known, these mainly come from loop integrations over the four-momenta space. However, we argue that these also imply the presence of a mass density of virtual particles in every volume cell of space-time. The most important contribution comes from quantum gravity $S^2 \times S^2$ bubbles, corresponding to virtual black hole pairs. The presence of virtual masses could lead to another paradox: the space-time itself would have an intrinsic virtual mass density contribution leading to a disastrous contraction - as is known, no negative masses exist in general relativity. We dub this effect *the cosmological problem of second type*: if not other counter-terms existed, the vacuum energy would be inevitably destabilized by virtual-mass contributions. It would be conceivable that the cosmological problem of second type could solve the first one. Virtual masses renormalize the vacuum energy to an unpredicted parameter, as in the renormalization procedure of the Standard Model charges. In the limit of $M_{Pl} \to \infty$ (Pauli-Villars limit), virtual black holes have a mass density providing an infinite counter-term to the vacuum energy divergent contribution $M_{Pl} \to \infty$ (assuming $M_{UV} = M_{Pl}$). Therefore, in the same Schwinger-Feynman-Tomonaga attitude, the problem of a divergent vacuum energy could be analogous to the *put-by-hand* procedure used for Standard Model parameters.

arXiv:1607.08107v5 [hep-th] 4 Aug 2016

I. INTRODUCTION

As is well known, the Standard Model of particles predicts the existence of a zero point energy density $\rho_{vacuum} \to \infty$. In an effective field theory approach, this is interpreted as

$$\rho_{vacuum} \sim -(n_B - \zeta(E) n_F) M_{UV}^4$$

where n_B and n_F are the numbers of bosons and fermions, $\zeta(E)$ is a coupling ratio running with energy, M_{UV} is the UV Pauli-Villars cutoff scale. In fact, bubble diagrams of matter, gauge sector and Higgs sector will badly generate quartic divergences at the leading order plus subdominant divergences. Such a vacuum energy would lead to a sudden super-inflation of space-time in a Planckian (cosmological frame) time. On the other hand, a very tiny dark energy density is observed, i.e. around $10^{-122}\,\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{Pl}}^4$. This is the well known cosmological problem: even starting with a zero or very small Einstein's cosmological constant, this will be destabilized by quantum corrections, up to $\Lambda \to M_{UV}^2$. In (SM) + (quantum gravity), the UV cutoff is the Planck scale $M_{UV} = M_{Pl} \simeq 10^{19}\,\mathrm{GeV}$ leading to a vacuum energy density $10^{122}\rho_\Lambda^{exp}$, where ρ_Λ^{exp} is the energy density associated to the experimental cosmological constant.

In this paper, we will discuss another aspect of the cosmological problem related to a second paradox of quantum field theories and quantum gravity. We argue that quantum field theories inevitably lead to the presence of virtual particles in a volume cell of space-time. This implies that, in every volume cell, virtual particles contribute to the energy density with their own masses. In other words, the expectation value of the energy-momentum tensor gets a contribution from virtual masses floating in vacuum. This effect is analogous to virtual electric charges in electrodynamics, screening bare electric charges. However, the difference is that negative and positive electric charges contribute as $\langle 0|Q|0\rangle = 0$ while negative masses cannot exist in general relativity. Even if this effect is an obvious consequence of quantum field theory coupled to gravity, it was never discussed as a relevant contribution to the vacuum energy density in any papers or reviews in literature (See for example some reviews on these subjects [1–11]).

The most important contribution comes from *Virtual Black Holes* (VBHs). Heisenberg's indetermination principle will inevitably lead to the conclusion that, localizing the position $\Delta x \to 0$, the curvature fluctuations will diverge $\Delta R \to \infty$. This leads to the pair creation of virtual black holes in vacuum. The quantum gravity scale imposes a bound on the Schwarzschild micro-black hole mass of $M_{BH} \geq \sqrt{\pi} M_{Pl}$. Such a bound can be obtained as a solution of $\lambda_c = r_S$, where λ_c is the universal definition of Compton wave-lenght $\lambda_c = 2\pi/M$ while $r_S = 2G_N M$ is the Schwarzschild radius. As pointed out by S. Hawking, a virtual black hole bubble is topologically equivalent to $S^2 \times S^2$, obtained by gluing two Eguchi-Hanson metric with opposite directions [12]. The Heisenberg's principle inevitably suggests the average presence of virtual micro black holes with a minimal mass $\sqrt{\pi} M_{Pl}$ in a Planck volume l_{Pl}^3. Other larger mass virtual black holes clearly are possible, but the saturation density limit must be $\sqrt{\pi} M_{Pl}/l_{Pl}^3$, i.e. the black hole density is cut-off by the Planck density scale. For instance a micro-black hole with $2\sqrt{\pi} M_{Pl}$ will occupy

* andrea.addazi@infn.lngs.it

$2l_{Pl}^3$, one with $3\sqrt{\pi}M_{Pl}$ will occupy $3l_{Pl}^3$ and so on. As shown by S. Hawking, the quantum gravity ground state minimizing the semiclassical action corresponds to approximately one Planck mass micro-black hole for Planck volume [13]. So that, a *space-time foam* with a leading topology $(S^2)^{2N}$ with $N >> 1$ was suggested [1] [2]. This leads to the catastrophic conclusion that space-time has an enormous intrinsic energy density of $\rho_{vacuum} \sim M_{Pl}^4$ even without on-shell matter sources. In fact if a space-time has an intrinsic energy density, this will source its curvature to $\langle R \rangle \to l_{Pl}^{-2}$.

Of course, the BH mass bound can be deformed for electrically charged and/or rotating virtual black holes. More precisely, for non-extremal Kerr black holes with $M > J$, the mass bound is obtained solving the equation $\lambda_c = r_{outer}$, where $r_{outer} = \frac{1}{2}\left(r_S + \sqrt{r_s^2 - 4\alpha^2 \cos^2\theta}\right)$, where $\alpha = J/M$ and J the Spin parameter, where θ parametrizes the angular variation of the radius in the oblate spheroidal horizon.

In the next subsection, we will provide a complete introduction to the classical cosmological problem and its completion with the second cosmological problem introduced in this paper.

A. Cosmological problems

Let us consider the Einstein-Hilbert lagrangian with a bare cosmological constant and coupled with matter:

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}(R - 2\Lambda_B) + S_{matter}[g_{\mu\nu}, \Phi] \quad (1)$$

where $\kappa = 8\pi G_N = 1/M_{Pl}^2$ where M_{Pl} is the reduced Planck mass. In quantum field theory, the vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor is not zero even without any matter sources:

$$\langle 0|T_{\mu\nu}|0\rangle = -\langle 0|\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta W}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}}|0\rangle = -\rho_{vac}g_{\mu\nu} \quad (2)$$

where

$$W = -i\log Z[0], \;\; Z[0] = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi e^{iS_{matter}[\Phi]} \quad (3)$$

and Φ are all SM particles and Z is the full SM partition function, supposing to start the field quantizations from an almost flat background.

Eq.(2) contributes to the Einstein's field equation as

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \Lambda_B g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa T_{\mu\nu}^{matter} + \kappa\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle \quad (4)$$

In other words, the effective cosmological constant is

$$\Lambda_{eff} = \Lambda_B + \kappa\rho_{vac} \quad (5)$$

The SM complete vacuum energy is

$$\rho_{vac} = \rho_B + \sum_i c_i \frac{m_i^4}{64\pi^2}\ln\left(\frac{m_i^2}{\mu^2}\right) + \rho_{vac}^{UV} + \rho_{vac}^{EW} + \rho_{vac}^{QCD} + ... \quad (6)$$

$$\simeq \rho_B - 2\times 10^8\,\mathrm{GeV}^4 + + \rho_{vac}^{UV} + \rho_{vac}^{EW} + \rho_{vac}^{QCD} + ...$$

where $\sum_i$ is done all over Standard Model particles and in particular we use current data $m_H \simeq 125\,\mathrm{GeV}$; $c_{quarks} \simeq -4$, $m_u \simeq 2.3\,\mathrm{MeV}$, $m_d \simeq 4.6\,\mathrm{MeV}$, $m_s \simeq 0.104\,\mathrm{GeV}$ $m_c \simeq 1.27\,\mathrm{GeV}$, $m_b \simeq 4.2\,\mathrm{GeV}$, $m_t \simeq 171.2\,\mathrm{GeV}$; $m_e \simeq 0.511\,\mathrm{MeV}$, $m_\mu \simeq 105\,\mathrm{MeV}$, $m_\tau \simeq 1.77\,\mathrm{MeV}$, (we ignore neutrinos), $M_Z \simeq 91\,\mathrm{GeV}$, $m_{W_\pm} \simeq 80\,\mathrm{GeV}$, $c_H = 1, c_q = -4, c_l = 4, c_Z = c_W = 3$;

$$\rho_{vac}^{UV} = -\kappa\frac{1}{4}\sum_{F,B}\left[c^B(E)m_B^4(E)D^B(0) - c^F(E)m_F^4(E)D^F(0)\right] \quad (7)$$

where $D^{F,B}(0)$ are bubble diagrams $= \langle\Phi(0)\Phi(0)\rangle$ of all SM particles (F=fermions, B=bosons) and we assume $M_{UV} = M_{Pl}$;

$$\rho_{vac}^{EW} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{16}\frac{m_H^2}{G_F^2} \simeq -1.2\times 10^8\,\mathrm{GeV}^4; \quad (8)$$

$$\rho_{vac}^{QCD} \simeq (\langle\bar{q}q\rangle)^{4/3} \simeq 10^{-2}\,\mathrm{GeV}^4; \quad (9)$$

Let us note that in Eq.7, $m_{B,F}^4 D_{B,F}(0) \sim M_{UV}^4$ for $m_{B,F} \to 0$.

Now, let us include the contributions of virtual masses into Eqs.(7,8,9)

$$\rho_{vac,TOT}^{VBH} = \rho_{vac}^{UV} + \sum_{VBH} c_{VBH}(E)M_{Pl}^4 \quad (10)$$

$$\rho_{vac,TOT}^{EW} = \rho_{vac}^{EW} + \sum_{SM}\frac{1}{8\pi^3}m_{SM}^4(E) \quad (11)$$

$$\rho_{vac,TOT}^{QCD} = \rho_{vac}^{QCD} + \sum_{WL,G}\frac{1}{8\pi^3}m_{WL,G}^4(E) \quad (12)$$

where $\sum_{VBH}$ is on all virtual Black Hole species, $\sum_{SM}$ is performed on all SM particle masses, $\sum_{WL}$ is performed all over the quark-antiquark Wilson lines (WL) and glueballs (G). For instance the mass of SM particle is averagely distributed in every associated Compton volume $\lambda_c^3 \sim m_{SM}^{-3}$ and so on. One can note that there is a coincidence in order of magnitude among various counterterms. For example, the sum on all SM particles masses have approximately the same order of the vacuum expectation value but with an inverted sign. As well, QCD

[1] But the same S. Hawking has not pointed out the possible relevance of the contribution of virtual BH masses to the renormalization of the vacuum energy.

[2] More precisely, also the presence of $K_3, \bar{K}_3$ are possible, while $CP_2, \bar{C}P_2$ are not possible for a spin-structured metric gravity.

Wilson lines and Glueballs have a mass scale density of the same order $(1 \div 10)$ of the QCD confinement energy density, but with again an inverted sign. Finally, the most important contributions coming from the Planck scale cutoff are from UV divergent loops and virtual black holes mass density, again expected with the same order of magnitude but inverted signs if $M_{UV} = M_{Pl}$. This alleviates the fine-tuning of the cosmological constant invoked in literature. However, at this level of analysis is not possible to predict how much it can be alleviated, i.e. if the cancellation is down to namely 1/2 or ... or 10^{-50} or ... or 10^{-122} or ... or $<< 10^{-122}$ of $O(1)M_{Pl}^4$. To demonstrate the exact cancellation among all these contributions seems impossible in framework of an effective quantum gravity theory. For instance, calculations of precise coefficients in Eq.(10) request an UV completed theory of quantum gravity because at $E \simeq M_{Pl}$ various radiative correction loops must contribute order $O(1)$ and they cannot be controlled in the effective quantum gravity framework. Another source of uncertainty comes from a possible unknown embedding of the SM in a larger group: clearly in this case the number of new particles as well as new introduced VEVs would contribute to the vacuum energy density.

II. PATH INTEGRAL REFORMULATION

In this section, we will reformulate the cosmological problem in full SM + quantum gravity path integral. All bubble diagrams contribute to the full partition function of Standard Model sector (or probably extensions) and quantum gravity: the partition function is nothing but an amplitude $Z = \langle vacuum|vacuum\rangle$.

The partition function of the Euclidean effective quantum gravity theory is the following path integral:

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}g\mathcal{D}\psi\mathcal{D}\phi\mathcal{D}A_\mu e^{-I[g,\psi,\phi,A]} \tag{13}$$

where g is the Euclidean metric tensor and ϕ, A_μ, ψ are all SM scalars, bosons and fermions. The following argument can be generalized for a SM extension.

In general the problem of quantum gravity is that this path integral has an UV divergence at 1-loop.

In semiclassical limit

$$I_E = -\int_\Sigma \sqrt{g}d^4x\left(\mathcal{L}_m + \frac{1}{16\pi}(R-2\Lambda)\right) \tag{14}$$

$$+\frac{1}{8\pi}\int_{\partial\Sigma}\sqrt{h}d^3x(K-K^0)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_m$ is the matter Lagrangian and $G = c = 1$. We will consider the case of $\Lambda = 0$, i.e. the initial cosmological term is zero K is the trace of the curvature induced on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$ of the region Σ considered, h is the metric induced on the boundary $\partial\Sigma$, and K^0 is the trace of the induced curvature embedded in flat space. The last term is a contribution from the boundary. We consider infinitesimal perturbations of matter and metric as $\phi = \phi_0 + \delta\phi$, $A = A^0 + \delta A$, $(...)$ and $g = g_0 + \delta g$, so that

$$I[\phi, A, ..., g] = I[\phi_0, A_0, ..g_0] + I_2[\delta\phi, \delta A, ...\delta g] + \text{higher orders}\,, \tag{15}$$

$$I_2[\delta\phi, \delta A, .., \delta g] = I_2[\delta\phi, \delta A, ...] + I_2[\delta g]\,. \tag{16}$$

$$\log Z = -\log Z[\phi_0, A_0, ..., g_0] \tag{17}$$

$$+\log\int \mathcal{D}\delta\phi\mathcal{D}\delta A(...)e^{-I_2[\delta\phi,\delta A,...]} + \log\int \mathcal{D}g e^{-I_2[\delta g]}$$

So that, according to Gibbons and Hawking, the Standard Model can be decoupled by gravitational fluctuations up to higher order corrections [14, 15] in semiclassical limit. A conceptual problem of the decoupling limit comes from the fact the SM partition function is UV divergent and it is highly backreacting on the gravitational metric. At this point, the semiclassical limit seems not allowed. In other words, the full theory is not converging to a SM in a flat background in the limit of $E << M_{Pl}$. The expectation value of the energy-tensor in vacuum has a non-zero component

$$\langle vacuum|\tilde{T}_{00}|vacuum\rangle_{SM-integrals+EW+QCD}$$

But we have also to consider

$$\langle vacuum|\tilde{T}_{00}|vacuum\rangle_{Virtual-Masses}$$

where

$$\tilde{T}_{00} = T_{00} - \frac{1}{2}g_{00}T$$

where the main contribution of $\langle vacuum|\tilde{T}_{00}|vacuum\rangle_{Virtual-Masses}$ comes from

$$\langle vacuum|\tilde{T}_{00}|vacuum\rangle_{S_2\times S_2} = c^{VBH}(E)M_{Pl}^4$$

corresponding to $\langle vacuum|I_m|vacuum\rangle \neq 0$. A classification of possible quantum gravity bubbles can be done on the basis of rigorous topological arguments [12] . Quantum bubbles are classified by their topological invariants and in particular by their Eulero number and signature

$$\chi = \nu + B_2^+ + B_2^- = \frac{1}{128\pi^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{g}R_{abcd}R_{a'b'c'd'}\epsilon^{aba'b'}\epsilon^{cdc'd'} \tag{18}$$

$$\tau = B_2^+ - B_2^- = \frac{1}{96\pi^2}\int d^4x\sqrt{g}R_{abcd}R^{cd}_{a'b'}\epsilon^{aba'b'} \tag{19}$$

where $\nu = +2$ for compact manifolds and $\nu = +1$ for non-compact manifolds, and $B_2^\pm$ are the second Betti numbers of harmonic and anharmonic two forms respectively. In the case of non-compact manifold boundary terms will contribute to the integral.

All bubbles are topologically equivalent to only three possible classes: $S^2 \times S^2, CP^2$ and K^3. Of course CP^2, K^3 can be inversely oriented, two possible anti-bubbles $\bar{CP}^2, \bar{K}3$. $S^2 \times S^2$ has $(\chi, \tau) = (4, 0)$, CP^2 has $(3, 1)$, $\bar{CP}^2$ has $(3, -1)$ $K3$ has $(24, 16)$ and $\bar{K}3$ has $(24, -16)$. In space-time with a spin structure, $CP^2, \bar{CP}^2$ are not possible. On the other hand $K3, \bar{K}3$ bubbles contribute to gravitational anomalies and they can change helicity and chirality of particles. But, their contribution in the path integral is expected to be subdominant: Atiyah-Singer index theorem guarantees that they will have fermionic zero modes. This implies that the only relevant diagrams are associated to $S^2 \times S^2$, corresponding to virtual black holes pairs.

So that, the partition function can be fractioned in two parts as

$$\log Z_0 + \log Z_{\delta(SM),\delta g} \tag{20}$$

where Z_0 is referred to the partition function of SM in Euclidean flat background and

$$Z_{\delta(SM),\delta g} = \int \mathcal{D}g\mathcal{D}\phi\mathcal{D}A_\mu\mathcal{D}\psi e^{-\langle \delta I_m\rangle + \dots} \tag{21}$$

where

$$\langle \delta I_m\rangle = -\langle \delta I_m\rangle_{SM-integrals} + \langle \delta I_m\rangle_{Virtual-masses} + ... \tag{22}$$

The vacuum energy will decouple by gravitational $\sqrt{-g}$ inside the matter action I_m if

$$\langle \delta \mathcal{L}_m\rangle_{SM-Integral} = -\langle \delta \mathcal{L}_m\rangle_{Virtual-masses} \tag{23}$$

so that they decouple by gravitational factor $\sqrt{-g}$ inside the matter action I_m. Then a separation of (21) is possible by virtue of the miraculous coincidence (22): the partition function can be expressed as a product of separate Feynman's path integrals

$$\left(\int \mathcal{D}\delta g\, e^{-I_2[\delta g]}\right)\left(\int \mathcal{D}\delta\phi ... \, e^{-I_2[\delta\phi,\dots]}\right)$$

More precisely, the virtual black hole action is

$$I_1 \sim \frac{m_{BH}^2}{M_{Pl}^2} \tag{24}$$

and ignoring the interactions among N black holes with the same mass (dilute gas approximation)

$$I_N \sim N\frac{m_{BH}^2}{M_{Pl}^2} \tag{25}$$

we can evaluate the partition function of N identical BH in a box of volume V as

$$Z_{0,BH} \sim \int_0^\infty dm_{BH} \sum_N \frac{1}{N!}\left(\frac{V}{l_{Pl}^3}\right)^N e^{-4\pi N\frac{m_{BH}^2}{M_{Pl}^2}} \tag{26}$$

This partition function is minimized by [13]

$$\langle n_{BH}\rangle \sim 1, \ \langle m_{BH}^2\rangle \sim M_{Pl}^2 \tag{27}$$

coinciding with its saddle point [3]. This implies that the spacetime must be repleted with Planck mass black holes, with a density of order one for Planckian volume. This contributes to the complete partition function of SM and quantum gravity, as mentioned above. The problem is that virtual black holes are also gravitationally coupled with space-time and so that each others, i.e. the diluite gas approximation can be used only as a valid estimator of virtual black hole package. The curvature of space-time is maximized in modulus by (27) if $M_{UV} \sim M_{Pl}$ and the main contribution is coming by virtual black holes gravitational attraction $\langle V\rangle \sim G_N M_{Pl}^2/l_{Pl} \sim M_{Pl}$.

At this point we could be concern about possible non-perturbative quantum gravity corrections. For example, a black hole bubble can be corrected by infinite loops by gravitons, gauge bosons and so on. We can expect that any possible loop corrections to black-hole bubble also correct SM bubbles, and the leading divergence is the same. Then, the limit of the space-time package of virtual Black holes cannot exceed l_{Pl}^4. But as stressed above, we have not the control of the running of couplings as mention above and we can consider the problem only at the level of effective field theory. This is the no-go edge for our approach based on effective quantum gravity.

A. $\Lambda \neq 0$ and real black hole pairs in de Sitter

Now let us discuss the case of an initial cosmological constant $\Lambda \neq 0$. The presence of a bare cosmological constant can source the creation of a black hole pair in a de Sitter space. This process is mediated by a $S_2 \times S_2$ gravitational instanton, generating an imaginary rate in the euclidean partition functional [17]. The topology of the gravitational instanton is exactly the same of virtual black hole bubbles, and the expansion energy can promote virtual black holes to a real pair. The nucleation rate is

$$\Gamma = Ae^{-(I_{S_2\times S_2} - I_{b.g.})} \tag{28}$$

where A includes quantum corrections, $I_{S_2\times S_2}$ is the action associated to the gravitational instanton, $I_{b.g.}$ is the gravitational action of the background metric. As shown in [17], the associated solution contains a negative mode. So that, the partition function becomes complex. This is the signal of an instability, leading to a spontaneous nucleation. The black holes are degenerate Schwarzschild-de Sitter black holes, or Nariai black

[3] The integral on all BH masses is expected to be an approximation of a discrete sum, i.e. BH masses are expected to be disposed in a discrete spectrum from arguments on the BH entropy [16].

holes, with Schwarzschild radius of $r_H = 1/\sqrt{\Lambda}$ and tunneling rate $\Gamma \sim e^{-\pi G_N^{-1}\Lambda^{-1}}$. For $\Lambda << G_N^{-1} \sim M_{Pl}^2$, the tunneling rate is exponentially suppressed. However, if $\Lambda = M_{Pl}^2$ the process cannot be calculated in semiclassical approximation and the system will disastrously nucleate into real black hole pairs with 100% of probability. But if SM bubbles are cancelled by virtual black hole bubbles, they cannot source any instabilities.

Eq.(28) is related to partition functions of S_4 and $S_2 \times S_2$ as

$$\Gamma = -\frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\frac{\mathrm{Im}Z[S_2 \times S_2]}{Z[S_4]} \tag{29}$$

As shown in [17–20], this path integral can be computed by integrating over the Fourier expansion coefficients of metric scalar, vector and tensor perturbations, obtaining an infinite product all over the eigenvalues. However, the conformal negative modes contributing to the integration are a finite set associated to the conformal operator $\Delta_0 = -3\nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu - 4\Lambda$. The final result is independent by the gauge-fixing choice and the Fadeev-Popov operator contains the zero-modes of the background isometries.

$\delta g_{\mu\nu}$ can be decomposed in scalar, vector and tensor modes:

$$\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \varphi_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\delta g g_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu\zeta_\nu + \nabla_\nu\zeta_\mu - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\sigma\zeta^\sigma \tag{30}$$

under metric perturbations $g_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu}$, the Einstein-Hilbert part of the action is perturbed as

$$\delta^{(2)} I_{\delta g} = \frac{1}{2}\langle\varphi^{\mu\nu}, \Delta_2\varphi_{\mu\nu}\rangle - \frac{1}{16}\langle\tilde{h}, \Delta_0, \tilde{h}\rangle \tag{31}$$

where $\delta^{(2)}$ is the 2th order perturbation, $\tilde{h} = h - 2\nabla_\sigma\zeta^\sigma$,

$$\Delta_2\varphi_{\mu\nu} = -\nabla_\sigma\nabla^\sigma\varphi_{\mu\nu} - 2R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\varphi^{\rho\sigma} \tag{32}$$

and the scalar product in a compact $\Lambda > 0$ manifold is

$$\langle\varphi_{\mu\nu}, \varphi^{\mu\nu}\rangle = \frac{1}{32\pi G_N}\int_\Sigma d^4x\sqrt{g}\varphi^{\mu\nu}\varphi_{\mu\nu} \tag{33}$$

$$\langle\zeta_\mu, \zeta^\mu\rangle = \frac{1}{32\pi G_N}\int_\Sigma d^4x\sqrt{g}\zeta_\mu\zeta^\mu \tag{34}$$

Let us consider the partition function perturbed at the 2th order:

$$Z^{(2)} = \int \mathcal{D}g\mathcal{D}\phi\mathcal{D}A\mathcal{D}\psi e^{I_0 + \frac{1}{2}\langle\varphi^{\mu\nu}, \Delta_2\varphi_{\mu\nu}\rangle - \frac{1}{16}\langle\tilde{h}, \Delta_0\tilde{h}\rangle + \delta I_m + \delta I_{g.f.}} \tag{35}$$

where δI_m contains SM and virtual black hole bubbles, $\delta_{g.f}$ the a gauge fixing term

$$\delta I_{g.f} = \gamma\langle\nabla_\sigma h_\rho^\sigma - \frac{1}{\alpha}\nabla_\rho h, \nabla^\alpha h_\alpha^\rho - \frac{1}{\alpha}\nabla^\rho h\rangle \tag{36}$$

where γ, α are real constants. The gauge fixing term can be choose so that it not contains zero modes: $\gamma = 1$, $\alpha = 2$ [18]. Again, assuming $\langle\delta I_m\rangle = 0$, the decoupling is safe.

The bubble nucleation process is sourced by the classical action I_0 with $\Lambda > 0$ causing negative modes the gravitational term (31). On the other hand, we have shown that SM vacuum energy cannot source $O(1)$ nucleation instabilities if completely screened by Virtual masses. At this point, the effective 2th order action is exactly the same solved in literature [17–20], with exactly the same calculation procedure.

III. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have shown how the cosmological constant is corrected by other counter-terms coming from the masses of virtual particles - still not considered in the literature. The most important contribution comes from virtual black hole bubble diagrams. In order to distinguish this problem from the original stated cosmological problem, we define it *cosmological problem of the second type*. The initial Einstein's cosmological constant is corrected combining integrated UV divergent SM bubble diagrams plus virtual masses. We have also discussed this problem in the path integral framework. In particular, we have discussed the cancellation mechanism with a null bare cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0$ and with an initial small bare cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$. In doing this, we have tacitly assumed that CPT and unitarity are not violated by virtual black holes. In other words, we have assumed that the information paradox would be not seriously interpreted as a violation of quantum mechanics principles. A possible decoherence effect by quantum gravity is assumed to be null. Of course, the information paradox is another different problem for an unknown microscopic theory of black holes [4].

To conclude, the presence of UV divergent counterterms to the usual cosmological vacuum energy implies a strong reduction of the cosmological fine-tuning suggested in literature. This also seems a relevant counterargument against the usual disentanglement limit of particle physics and quantum gravity, always applied in all quantum field theory calculations. Such a procedure seems justified in most of the scattering processes tested in laboratory. But as shown above, such a procedure is not fully correct in the estimation of the vacuum energy density. For instance, the contribution of virtual black

[4] We have suggested that semiclassical black holes could be reinterpreted as an effective geometry, composed of a large ensamble of horizonless naked singularities (imagined smoothed at the planck lenght) [21–23]: the information paradox would be understood as a chaotization of the infalling information. In this approach, a BH horizon does not exist and it is only an approximated geometry. Paradoxical BHs are substituted by new geometric solutions dubbed *frizzyballs*, which recover all observable proprieties of astrophysical BH. In frizzyballs, the no hair theorem is avoided and they carry informations in gravitational geometric hairs.

holes to the vacuum energy is not negligible as thought by most of theoretical physicists, but it is order M_{Pl}^4. Because of that, we hope that our arguments would be useful for a future clarification of the most serious unsolved problem of theoretical physics. The final dream is to demonstrate an exact cancellation among all terms in the framework of a UV completed theory of quantum gravity or a theory of everything. We think that this problem could be analogous to the old dream to calculate the electron mass from first principles [5].

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Massimo Bianchi, Salvatore Capozziello, Gia Dvali, Lena Funcke, Antonino Marciano and Sergei Odintsov for valuable discussions and remarks on these subjects. I also would like to thank Fudan University (Shanghai) for the hospitality during the preparation of this paper. My work was supported in part by the MIUR research grant Theoretical Astroparticle Physics PRIN 2012CP-PYP7 and by SdC Progetto speciale Multiasse La Societá della Conoscenza in Abruzzo PO FSE Abruzzo 2007-2013.

[1] S. Weinberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61** (1989) 1. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.61.1
[2] A. D. Dolgov, In *Paris 1997, Phase transitions in cosmology* 161-175 [astro-ph/9708045].
[3] N. Straumann, Eur. J. Phys. **20** (1999) 419 doi:10.1088/0143-0807/20/6/307 [astro-ph/9908342].
[4] V. Sahni and A. A. Starobinsky, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **9** (2000) 373 doi:10.1142/S0218271800000542 [astro-ph/9904398].
[5] S. Weinberg, astro-ph/0005265.
[6] S. M. Carroll, Living Rev. Rel. **4** (2001) 1 doi:10.12942/lrr-2001-1 [astro-ph/0004075].
[7] S. E. Rugh and H. Zinkernagel, Stud. Hist. Phil. Sci. B **33** (2002) 663 doi:10.1016/S1355-2198(02)00033-3 [hep-th/0012253].
[8] T. Padmanabhan, Phys. Rept. **380** (2003) 235 doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(03)00120-0 [hep-th/0212290].
[9] J. Yokoyama, gr-qc/0305068.
[10] J. Polchinski, hep-th/0603249.
[11] J. Martin, Comptes Rendus Physique **13** (2012) 566 doi:10.1016/j.crhy.2012.04.008 [arXiv:1205.3365 [astro-ph.CO]].
[12] S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 3099 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.53.3099 [hep-th/9510029].
[13] S. W. Hawking, Nucl. Phys. **B144**, 349 (1978).
[14] G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B **138** 141 (1978).
[15] J. Hartle, K.Schleich, Phys. Rev. D **36** 2342 (1987).
[16] G. Dvali, C. Gomez and S. Mukhanov, arXiv:1106.5894 [hep-ph].
[17] P. Ginsparg and M.J. Perry. Nucl.Phys., **B 222**, 245?268, 1983.
[18] M. S. Volkov and A. Wipf, Nucl. Phys. B **582** (2000) 313 doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(00)00287-X [hep-th/0003081].
[19] E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999) 061501 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.061501 [hep-th/9901026].
[20] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **59** (1999) 044026 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.59.044026 [hep-th/9804033].
[21] A. Addazi, arXiv:1508.04054 [gr-qc].
[22] A. Addazi, arXiv:1510.05876 [gr-qc], to appear in Karl Schwarzschild meeting 2015 (Frankfurt).
[23] A. Addazi, EJTP **12**, No. IYL15-34 (2015) 89-106, arXiv:1510.09128 [gr-qc].
[24] A. Addazi, P. Dona and A. Marciano, arXiv:1602.01772 [gr-qc].
[25] A. Addazi, A. Marciano and S. Alexander, arXiv:1603.01853 [gr-qc].
[26] A. Addazi, S. Capozziello and S. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **760** (2016) 611 doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2016.07.047 [arXiv:1607.05706 [gr-qc]].
[27] G. Dvali and L. Funcke, Phys. Rev. D **93** (2016) no.11, 113002 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.113002 [arXiv:1602.03191 [hep-ph]].

[5] The cosmological problem has also a crucial importance in phenomenology: if the SM vacuum energy density was zero despite of $10^{-122}M_{Pl}^4$, the cosmological term would be generated by an unknown mechanism with possible predictions in phenomenology. For instance, we have suggested that the cosmological constant could be generated by a dark strong condensate, also providing a natural candidate for dark matter [24, 25]. Another interesting alternative is the reinterpretation of the vacuum energy density as generated by a Born-Infeld (BI) electrodynamic condensate. In this framework, we have shown how neutrino masses would be dynamically generated if neutrinos were coupled with the new BI vector boson [26]. Finally, contributions of chiral symmetry violating bubbles as $K_3, \bar{K}_3$ or more complicated space-time composed topologies can source a gravitational topological vacuum susceptibility term $\langle \tilde{R}R \rangle \neq 0$ contributing to the vacuum energy and possibly generating a neutrino mass term. This last possibility is connected to the recent suggestion of Ref.[27].